TEACHING READING NARRATIVE TEXT THROUGH STORY FACE STRATEGY TO THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF MTS NEGERI 4 OKU TIMUR.

THESIS

BY INDRIANA SAIMONA NIM 372015006



UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH PALEMBANG
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
AUGUST 2019

TEACHING READING NARRATIVE TEXT THROUGH STORY FACE STRATEGY TO THE EIGHTH STUDENTS OF MTS

NEGERI 4 OKU TIMUR

THESIS

Presented to

Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang
In partial fulfillment of the requirement
For the degree of Sarjana in English Language Education

By

Indriana Saimona

NIM 372015006

UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH PALEMBANG

FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION

ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM

August 2019

This is written by Indriana Saimona has been certified to be examined.

Palembang, 29 August 2019

Advisor I,

Prof. Dr. Indawan Syahri, M.Pd.

Palembang, 29 August 2019

Advisor II,

Masagus Sulaiman, S.Pd., M.Pd.

This is to certify that Sarjana's thesis of Indriana Saimona, which has been approved by the Board of examiners as the requirement for Sarjana degree in English Education

Tell . .

Prof. Dr. Indawan Syahri, M.Pd., Chairperson

Masagus Sulaiman, S.Pd., M.Pd., Member

Finza Larasati, S.Pd., M.Pd., Member

Acknowledged by

The Head of

English Education Study Program,

Sri Yuliani, S.Pd., M.Pd

Approved by The Dean of FKIP UMP,

Dr. H. Rusdy A.S., M.Pd.

SURAT KETERANGAN PERTANGGUNG JAWABAN PENULISAN SKRIPSI

Yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini:

Nama

: Indriana Saimona

Nim

: 372015006

Program Studi

: Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Menerangkan dengan sesungguhnya bahwa:

 Skripsi yang telah saya buat adalah benar-benar pekerjaan saya sendiri (bukan barang jiplakan atau plagiat)

 Apabila dikemudian hari terbuktu/dapat dibuktikan skripsi ini hasil jiplakan, maka saya akan menanggung resiko sesuai dengan peraturan undang-undang yang berlaku.

Demikian surat keterangan ini dibuat dengan sebenar-benarnya untuk dapat dipertanggung jawabkan.

Palembang, 29 Agustus 2019 Yang menerangkan Mahasiswa yang bersangkutan



MOTTO AND DEDICATION

Motto:

"To get success, your courage must be greater than your fear"

This is Dedicated to:

- My beloved parents Haitami and Roniah who always help me in everything. Thank you so much for the endless love, pray, and financial support. I believe something beautiful that I feel, is your prayer that God has granted.
- * My great advisors, Prof. Dr. Indawan Syahri, M.Pd and Masagus Sulaiman, S.Pd., M.Pd. thanks for everything that you give to me, thanks for your knowledge, suggestions, support, help and many others.
- My lovely sisters and brother (Desi Ratnasari S.Pd and Roni Juanta) Thanks for your love, prayer, support and motivation and smile for me. I believe we can be successful people and make our parents proud of us.
- My beloved friend (Selistiana, Nurika, Tri Anjarwati and Kak Nina). Thank you very much for your support, help and togetherness.
- All of my friends in English Education Study Program 2015, especially for class A whose name cannot be mentioned one by one. Thanks for your help, friendship, and all kindness
- My beloved friends in Practice teaching Senior High School YWKA Palembang and KKN in Gandus, thank you for the great experiences and wonderful moments that would not be forgotten by me.
- All of lecturers in Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, especially in English Education study program
- * Thank you so much for My green campus and almamater.

ABSTRACT

Saimona, Indriana. 2019. Teaching Reading Narrative Text through *Story Face* Strategy to The Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. English Education Study Program, Sarjana Degree (S1), Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, *Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang. Advisors*: (I) Prof. Indawan Syahri., M.Pd., (II) Masagus Sulaiman, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Keywords: Story Face Strategy, Reading Comprehension, Narrative Text.

This study entitled Teaching Reading Narrative Text throught Story Face Strategy to The Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. The problem of this study was whether or not there was any significant difference on the Eighth Grade Students' reading comprehension achievement who were taught through Story Face startegy and those of the students who were not taught using Story Face strategy of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. The objective of this study was to determine the difference Story Face strategy in teaching reading narrative text to the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. The population of this study was all the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur 90 students from 3 classes. The samples 60 students which were divided into two group: experimental group and control group. The test consisted of 30 questions in terms of multiple choice questions. The data obtained were analyzed by t-test. The result of data analysis revealed that t-obtained was 5.060, with the critical value 1.671, at the significance level of 5% and df 58. Since t-obtained was higher than critical value, the null hypothesis (H_0) was rejected and alternative hypothesis (H_0) was accepted. It could be concluded that there were significant differences between students' ability in answering reading comprehension question to the students who were taught through Story Face strategy those of the students who are not taught using Story Face of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. Additionally, teaching narrative text through Story Face strategy can develop students' reading comprehension achievements particularly for the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim,

First and Foremost, Alhamdulillahi rabbil 'alamin, the writer expresses her highest gratitude to Allah SWT for blessing, love, opportunity, health, mercy, and guidance to fulfill and complete this thesis entitled "Teaching Reading Narrative Text Through Story Face Strategy to The Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur". Shalawat and Salam are also delivered to our Prophet Muhammad SAW who has brought Islam as the Rahmatan Lil Alamiin.

The writer would like to express her gratitude to Rector *Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang*, Dr. Abid Djazuli, S.E., M.M., Dean of Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Dr. H. Rusdy A. Siroj, M.Pd., Head of English Education Study Program, Sri Yuliani, S.Pd., M.Pd., and thanks a lot to all the lectures of English Department at *Universitas Muhammadiyah Palembang* who have taught and helped the researcher during the research.

In addition, the writer gives the highest appreciation to her thesis advisors Prof. Dr. Indawan Syahri, M.Pd and Masagus Sulaiman, S.Pd., M.Pd., who have guided, helped, advised, supported, given suggestions and comments for the writer to complete this on time, The writer also would like to express her great thanks to the Headmaster at MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur, and the teacher of English, and the staff

member, and also the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur, who have

given their help and support in collecting the research data.

The deepest thanks and love are addressed to her beloved father (Haitami)

and mother (Roniah), and lovely brother (Roni Juanta), and all of family who have

given their love, prayer, attention and supports to finish this thesis

Last, the researcher hopes this thesis will be useful for the people who read it

and for other researchers in the future. The writer realizes that the thesis is still far

from being perfect, therefore any comments, suggestions and constructive criticisms

are very much welcome.

Palembang, August 2019

The writer

IS

viii

LIST OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE
AGREEMENT PAGE ii
APPROVAL PAGE iii
MOTTO AND DEDICATION iv
ABSTRACT v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS vi
LIST OF CONTENTS viii
LIST OF TABLES xi
LIST OF APPENDICES xiii
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background of the Study 1
1.2 Problems of the Study
1.2.1 Limitation of the Study
1.2.2 Formulation of the Study
1.3 Objective of the Study 4
1.4 Significance of the Study 4
1.5 Hypotheses of the Study 5
1.6 Criteria of Testing the Hypotheses
CHAPTER II. LITERARTURE REVIEW
2.1 Teaching 7
2.2 Reading 8
2.3 Reading Comprehension
2.4 Narrative Text
2.4.1 Types of Narrative Text
2.5 Story Face strategy

2.6	The Procedures of Applying	
	Story Face Strategy15	5
2.7	The Procedures of Teaching Reading Narrative Text	
	through <i>Story Face</i> strategy 1	5
2.8	The Procedures of Teaching Reading Narrative Text	t
	Through Story Face strategy in	
	Experimental class	7
2.9	Previous Related Studies 1	
CHAPTER III. MET	THODOLOGY	
3.1	Research Method	22
3.2	Research Variables	23
3.3	Operational Definitions	
3.2.1	Reading Comprehension	
3.2.2	Story Face Strategy	
3.4	Population and Sample	
3.4.1	Population	
3.4.2	Sample	
3.5	Technique for Collecting the Data	
3.5.1	Test	
3.5.1.1	Pretest	26
3.5.1.2	Posttest	26
3.5.2	Research Instrument Analysis	26
3.5.2.1	Validity Test	
	Realibility Test	
	Readability Test	
3.6	Technique for Analyzing the Data	
3.6.1	Data Descriptions	
3.6.2	Distribution of Frequency Data	
3.6.3	Descriptive Statistics	
3.7	Pre-requisite Analysis	
3.7.1	Normality Test	
3.7.2	Homogeneity Test	
3.7.3	Hypothesis Testing	
CHAPTER IV. FINI	DINGS AND INTERPRETATION	
4.1	Findings of the Study	20
4.1 4.1.1	Findings of the Study The Result of Students' Pretest Score in Control	33
4.1.1	Group	34

4.	.1.2	The Result of Students' Posttest Score in Control Group	26
4	.1.3	The Result of Students' Pretest Score in	30
т.	1.5	Experimental Group	37
1	1.4	The Result of Students' Posttest Score in	31
7.	.1.7	Experimental Group	39
4.	.1.5	Normality of Students' Pretest Score in Control	37
		and Experimental Group	41
4.	.1.6	Normality of Students' Postest Score in Control	
		and Experimental Group	42
4.	.1.7	Homogeneity Test of Students' Pretest Score in	
		Control and Experimental	43
4.	.1.8	Homogeneity Test of Students' Posttest Scores in	
		Control and Experimental Group	44
4.	.1.9	The differences between Pretest and Posttest	
		Score in Experimental Group	45
4.	.1.10	The differences between Pretest and Posttest of	
		Control Group	46
4.	.1.11	The comparison of Posttest Experimental Group	
		and Control Group by using Independent Sample	
		T-test	47
4	1.2	Interpretations	49
CHAPTER V. (CONC	CLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1		Conclusion	
5.2		Suggestions	
5.2		The teachers of English	
		The students	
5.2	2.3	The Institution of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur	54
REFERENCES	1		55
	•••••	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	50

LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Pages	
1. Population of the Study		24
2. Sample of the Study		
3. The Specification of the Test Item		27
4. The Validity of the Test Items		
5. The Reliability Statistic		30
6. Statistic data of Pretest in Control Group		34
7. The Frequency of Pretest in Control Group		35
8. Statistic data of Posttest in Control Group		36
9. The frequency of Posttest in Control Group		37
10. Statistic data of Pretest in Experimental		38
11. The frequency of Pretest in Experimental Group		38
12. Statistic data of Posttest in Experimental		
13. The frequency of Posttest in Experimental Group	40	
14. Normality Tests of Students' Pretest Scores in control and		
Experimental	41	
15. Normality test of students' Posttest scores in Control and		
Experimental Groups	42	
16. Homogeneity test of students' Pretest score in control and		
Experimental Groups	43	
17. Homogeneity test of students' postest score in control and		
Experimental Groups	44	
18. Paired samples statistic pretest and posttest Experimental		
Group	45	
19. The results of paired sample t-Test Pretest and posttest in		
Experimental	45	
20. Paired samples t-Test Statistic Pretest and Posttest in contro		
Group	46	
21. The results of paired sample t-Test pretest and posttest in		
Control group		
22. Independent sample test	48	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendice	Pages Pages
1.	Test of Items
2.	The Answer key of Test
3.	Hasil Nilai Siswa
4.	Silabus
5.	Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran
6.	Surat Keputusan Dosen Pembimbing Skripsi
7.	Daftar Hadir Seminar Proposal
8.	Daftar Hadir Dosen Penguji Seminar Proposal
9.	Surat Permohonan Riset dari Kampus
10.	Surat Balasan Riset Dari MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur
11.	Undangan Seminar Proposal
12.	Persetujuan Ujian Skripsi
13.	Surat Keterangan Dari Prodi
14.	Permohonan Ujian Skripsi
15.	Surat Pernyataan
16.	Undangan Ujian Skripsi
17.	Daftar Hadir Ujian Skripsi
18.	Bukti Telah Memperbaiki Skripsi Hasil Ujian
19.	Kartu Bimbingan Kemajuan Skripsi
20.	Curriculum Vitae
Doo	rumentation

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents (1) background of the study, (2) problems of the study, (3) objective of the study, (4) significance of the study, (5) hypotheses of the study, (6) criteria of testing the hypotheses.

1.1 Background of the Study

As one of the language skills, reading is very crucial to back up other language skills. In other words, by reading, the students can explore their English proficiency, (example: written and spoken forms). The students can obtain much information and knowledge, and also improve their ability in English. Yet, they usually find it hard to understand the reading text and it makes them not interested for learning reading.

According to Medina (2012), reading is important because it is one of the most frequently used language skills in everyday life, as witnessed by the use of internet. Students search for information through the web and most of it is published in English (p.81). In relation to teaching reading comprehension, some genres of the text were usually applied by the teachers, especially for the teachers of the Eighth Grade of Junior High School, such as narrative text, descriptive text, recount text, report text, procedure text.

According to Pourhoseingilakjani (2016), reading is interactive process in which readers construct a meaningful representation of a text using effective reading strategies, effective reading strategies are considered as significant skills that have received the special focus on students reading comprehension proficiency (p.229). In addition, Gamble

and Yates (2002) state that narrative is text relates a sequence of events. Narrative is not an aesthetic invention but the basic way in which we make sense of our experiences (p.20)

Additionally, Bojovic (2010) says that comprehension is a process of getting meaning from and bringing meaning to a text. Theoretical framework, concerning classification of reading skills, criteria for skill ranking and skill transfer, is presented (p.1). In addition, Mikulecky and Jeffries (2016) state that comprehension is part of life that could be compared, in fact to a very complicated computer. Messages are constantly coming in about what you see, hear, touch or taste and your brain receives these messages, intreprets them, sorts them, and saves them (p.14)

In addition, the writer conducted an observation and she found some problems faced by the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur in learning reading text, as follows:

- 1) they had limited vocabulary, so that they could not understand the reading text.
- 2) they could not answer the questions directly, before translating by looking up the dictionary.
- 3) they had low reading scores, less than "75" based on minimum passing standard (SKM).

To overcome the problems, it is suggested that the teacher of English has to use an effective strategy. One of the strategies is that *Story Face* strategy. According to Stevens and Bruce (2004), *Story Face* is a graphic organizer that aids students' comprehension of narrative text. It functions like a story map, allowing students to visualize the important components of a narrative text, including setting, main characters, problems, events, and a resolution (p.12)

The benefit of using this strategy *Story Face* is that students are more active and enthusiastics in learning reading narrative texts. From the explanation stated, the writer was interested in conducting a study under the title "*Teaching Reading Narrative Text through Story Face Strategy to the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur*".

1.2. Problems of the study

The problems of the study have something to do with teaching reading narrative text through *Story Face* strategy to the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur.

1.2.1. Limitation of the Problem

In this study, the writer limited the problem on teaching reading narrative text through *Story Face* strategy to the Eighth Grade Students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur. In this case, the writer limited the genres of narrative text on five folklores, such as: "SuraBaya", "The Monkey and the Crocodile", "Lonely Landy" and "Two Goats".

1.2.2. Formulation of the Problem

In this study, the writer formulated the problem into the following question: "is there any significant difference on the Eighth Grade students reading comprehension achievement taught through *Story Face* strategy and those of the students who are not taught using *Story Face* strategy of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur?"

1.3 Objective of the Study

Based on the problem stated, the objective of the study was to find out whether or not it is effective to use *Story Face* strategy in teaching reading narrative text to the Eighth Grade students of MTs Negeri 4 OKU Timur.

1.4 Significance of the Study

The writer believes that this study can give valuable input and contribution to some parties, as follows:

1. For the Writer Herself

This study can give valuable information and knowledge about teaching reading comprehension especially using *Story Face* strategy that can improve the writer's teaching performance.

2. For the Teacher of English

Hopefully, this study could be used as a good reference for teachers of English to improve their teaching skills in reading text to the students in the classroom activity.

3. For the Students

This study hopefully can make the students interested in learning reading narrative text with the teacher in the classroom.

4. For Other Researchers

This study could be used as a good source or reference for other researchers to conduct a deep on going research to the topic of this study.

1. 5 Hypotheses of the Study

According to the Fraenkel and Wallen (2012), hypothesis is simply put a prediction of the possible outcomes of a study (p.45). The writer proposes two hypotheses in this study. They were alternative hypothesis (H_a) and the null hypothesis (H_o).

- (H_a) :There was a significant difference on the Eighth Grade Students'

 Reading comprehension achievement taught using narrative text

 through *Story Face* strategy and the students who are not taught *Story Face*strategy at MTs Negeri 4 Oku Timur.
- (H_o) : There was no significant difference on the Eighth Grade
 Students Reading Story Face strategy at MTs Negeri 4 OKU
 Timur.

1.6 Criteria of Testing the Hypotheses

To prove the research problem, testing research hypotheses were formulated as follows:

- 1.) if p-output (Sig.2-tailed) was lower than 0.05 level, the null hypothesis (H_0) was rejected, and the alternative hypothesis (H_a) was accepted.
- 2.) if the p-output (Sig.2-tailed) was higher than 0.05 level, the null hypothesis was accepted, and the alternative hypothesis (H_a) was rejected.

REFERENCES

- Arikunto, S (2013). *prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktik.* Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Bedrimiati (2012). The effect of Story Face on students reading comprehension of narrative text in grade VIII of Islamic Junior High School Nurul Hidayah Pematang Kayu Arang. (Unpublished Undergrduate Thesis). Riau: University of Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau Pekanbaru.
- Bojovic, M (2010). Reading skills and reading comprehension English for specific purposes . Serbia: University of Kragujevac.
- Brown, H. D. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching*, (4th ed), New York, NY: Addision Wesley Longman, inc.
- Chamber, E. & Gregory . M (2006). *Teaching and learning English literature*. London, SAGE Publications.
- Cohen, L, Manion .L & Morrison. K (2007) . Research method in education London : Routledge.
- Creswell. J. W (2012). planning, conducting, evaluating, quantitative and qualitative research, (4th ed). New York, NY: Pearson.
- Dickson. S (2017). Building readers a parent's guide. Salt Lake City: Utah State Board of Education
- Duke & Pearson (2001). Reading comprehension: Startegis that Work. No 1 p 423.
- Frankel, J. R & Wallen, N. E. (2012) . *How to design and evaluate research* in *edcucation* . (7th ed). San Francisco: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Gamble, N & Yates., S (2002). *Exploring Children's literature*: Teaching language and reading of fiction. New York, NY: SAGE Publication Inc.
- Herlina (2012). Developing Reading Narrative Text Materials for Eighth Graders of Junior High School implemented with character building. English Education Journal. University Negeri Semarang.
- Kara, S (2015). *Reading strategies: Prospective teachers and their teaching practies*. Turkey: University Anadolu.
- Klinger, J. K. Vaughn, S., & Boardman, A. (2007). *Teaching reading comprehension to students with learning difficulties*. New York, NY: the Guildford Press.
- Medina, S. L. (2012). Effect of strategy instruction in an EFL reading comprehension course: A Case Study. *Profile Journal*, 14 (1), 79-89.
- Mcnamara, S. D. (2007). Reading comprehension strategies: theories, interventions and technologies. New York: University of Memphis.

- Mikulecky, B.S & Jeffries, L (2016). *More reading power*. language Division. State of America: Addtion –Wesley Publishing Company, Inc..
- Moreillon, J (2007). *Collaborative strategis for teaching reading comprehension*. Chicago: America library association.
- Mufidah, Z (2018). The Effectiveness of Story Face in teaching reading comprehension at the Tenth Grade of SMK Batur Jaya 2 Ceper Klaten in the academic year of 2017/2018.(Unpublished Undergraduate Thesis). Surakarta: IAIN Surakarta
- Nurdiono (2008). Kinds of Narrative text. Jakarta: UI & UNS
- Pang, E. S. Muaka, A. Bernbardt, E, B., & Kamil, M., L (2003). *Teaching reading*. Chicago: University of Illimois.
- Pourhoseingilakjani. A (2016). *How Can Students Improve Their Reading Comprehension Skill.* Journal of Studies in Education. University Azad Islamic. Iran
- Richards, C. J, & Renandya, W. A (2002). *Methodology in language teaching: An anthology of current practice*, (1st ed). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Mishba (2015). Pengertian Narrative text. Jakarta.: UI
- Septiani, D. R (2016). Teaching reading in narrative text by using Story Frame strategy to the Eighth Grade students of SMP Muhammadiyah 4 Palembang. (Unpublished Undergraduete Thesis). Palembang: University of Muhammadiyah Palembang
- Stevens & Bruce.B (2004). English SOL Enhanced Scope and Sequence for Reading. Richmond: Virginia Department of Education
- Sulaiman, MGS. (2017). *Teach the students not the Book(A handbook of TEFL)*. (1st ed). Palembang: Noer Fikri
- Indawan, Sulaiman. MGS & Susanti. R (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Palembang: Roemah Sufie.